

2024; 20(15): 6038-6055. doi: 10.7150/ijbs.103977

Review

# Multi-Omics Approaches to Discover Biomarkers of Thyroid Eye Disease: A Systematic Review

Haiyang Zhang1,2,3,†, Yuyu Zhou1,2,3,†, Baiguang Yu1,2,3,†, Yuyang Deng1,2,3, Yang Wang1,2,3, Sijie Fang1,2,3, Xuefei Song<sup>1,2,3, $\boxtimes$ </sup>, Xianqun Fan<sup>1,2,3, $\boxtimes$ </sup>, Huifang Zhou<sup>1,2,3, $\boxtimes$ </sup>

- 1. Department of Ophthalmology, Shanghai Ninth People's Hospital, Shanghai Jiao Tong University School of Medicine, No.639, Zhizaoju Road, Huangpu District, Shanghai, China.
- 2. Shanghai Key Laboratory of Orbital Diseases and Ocular Oncology, Shanghai, China.
- 3. Center for Basic Medical Research and Innovation in Visual System Diseases, Ministry of Education, Shanghai, China.

†Haiyang Zhang, Yuyu Zhou, and Baiguang Yu contributed equally to this manuscript.

Corresponding authors: Huifang Zhou, fangzzfang@sjtu.edu.cn; Xianqun Fan, fanxq@sjtu.edu.cn; Xuefei Song, songxuefei@shsmu.edu.cn.

© The author(s). This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/). See https://ivyspring.com/terms for full terms and conditions.

Received: 2024.09.21; Accepted: 2024.10.28; Published: 2024.11.11

#### **Abstract**

Thyroid eye disease (TED) is an organ-specific autoimmune disorder that significantly impacts patients' visual function, appearance, and well-being. Despite existing clinical evaluation methods, there remains a need for objective biomarkers to facilitate clinical management and pathogenesis investigation. Rapid advances in multi-omics technologies have enabled the discovery and development of more informative biomarkers for clinical use. This systematic review synthesizes the current landscape of multi-omics approaches in TED research, highlighting the potential of genomics, transcriptomics, proteomics, metabolomics, and microbiomics to uncover novel biomarkers. Our review encompasses 69 studies involving 1,363 TED patients and 1,504 controls, revealing a wealth of biomarker candidates across various biological matrices. The identified biomarkers reflect alterations in gene expression, protein profiles, metabolic pathways, and microbial compositions, underscoring the systemic nature of TED. Notably, the integration of multi-omics data has been pivotal in enhancing our understanding of TED's molecular mechanisms and identifying diagnostic and prognostic markers with clinical potential.

Keywords: Thyroid eye disease, Biomarker, Multi-omics, Bioinformation

## **Introduction**

Thyroid eye disease (TED), also known as thyroid-associated ophthalmopathy (TAO) and Graves' orbitopathy (GO), is an organ-specific autoimmune disorder characterized by the enlargement of the extraocular muscles and an increase in fatty or connective tissue volume. It is the most frequent extrathyroidal manifestation of Graves' disease (GD) and occasionally occurs in patients with autoimmune thyroiditis and other thyroid diseases, resulting from a combination of genetic and environmental factors [1]. The estimated incidence rate is 4.2 per 100,000 person-years. Female patients outnumber males, and it tends to occur in individuals aged 40–50 years and 60–70 years [2]. The clinical manifestations of TED include upper eyelid retraction, oedema, erythema of the periorbital tissues

and conjunctivae, exophthalmos, dry eye, diplopia, and strabismus, significantly affecting the quality of life of patients [3, 4].

The pathogenesis of TED is not yet fully understood, but autoantibodies to the thyroid-stimulating hormone receptor (TSH-R) and insulin-like growth factor-1 receptor (IGF-1R) are thought to play a key role [4, 5]. Current disease activity scoring relies on the European Group on Graves' Orbitopathy (EUGOGO) system for clinical activity scores (CAS), which considers the presence of pain, redness, swelling, and functional impairment of specific ocular and orbital structures [6, 7]. However, the pathological processes of TED extend beyond orbital inflammation and tissue remodeling to include immune and metabolic changes in peripheral blood [8–11]. These changes serve as important biomarkers for a deeper understanding of the disease's complexity. For instance, intraorbital biomarkers may describe the activation of orbital fibroblasts, changes in the fibrosis of extraocular muscles, and the proliferation of adipose tissues [12]. In peripheral blood, biomarkers related to inflammation, immune cell activation, and autoimmune responses may be observed, such as elevated levels of thyroid-stimulating hormone receptor antibodies (TRAb), the presence of specific antibodies, or alterations in the proportions of immune cell subsets [13]. These biomarkers are valuable not only for early diagnosis and assessment of disease activity but also for predicting disease progression and treatment response. Therefore, identifying and validating these biomarkers is crucial.

With the rapid development of high-throughput sequencing technology and the popularization of public databases, multi-omics studies using bioinformatics analysis have been conducted to identify new diagnostic or therapeutic biomarkers for various diseases, including cancers and autoimmune disorders [14, 15]. According to the central dogma of molecular biology, omics fields such as genomics, epigenomics, transcriptomics, proteomics, metabolomics, and lipidomics have a promising role in investigating more biomarkers and comprehensively understanding the molecular mechanisms of TED [16]. For example, several microarray gene profile studies on TED have been performed to identify differentially expressed genes (DEGs) and key pathways, revealing the underlying pathological mechanisms of TED [17–20]. Using mass spectrometry profiling, differences in proteins between TED patients and control subjects can be investigated, potentially serving as biomarkers for aiding the detection and prognosis of TED in the future [21–24]. Furthermore, Microbiomics research is indeed crucial as it sheds light on the connection between the gut microbiota and systemic immune responses that may influence the pathogenesis of TED, broadening the understanding of TED beyond the traditional central dogma and opening up new avenues for diagnosis, treatment, and potentially even prevention [25–27].

The emergence of multi-omics technologies offers a new perspective for studying TED, providing a more holistic and integrated view of the disease's pathophysiology. This comprehensive review aims to summarize the current knowledge regarding the application of multi-omics to TED, highlighting the potential for novel biomarker discovery and improved diagnostics.

# **Methods**

This systematic review was conducted following the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) 2020 Statement [28]. The review protocol was registered in the International Prospective Register of Systematic Reviews (PROSPERO) platform (registration number CRD42024533793). The protocol is available online at [https://www.crd.york.ac.uk/prospero/display\_rec ord.php?ID=CRD42024533793].

## **Search Strategy**

Two independent researchers (HYZ and YYZ) systematically searched the PubMed, Embase, and Web of Science databases from inception to February 10, 2024. All English-language publications were retrieved without restrictions on the country of origin or article type. The search strategy is provided in Supplementary Tables 1–3.

## **Selection Criteria**

We included only original studies, such as cross-sectional, retrospective, and prospective studies. The inclusion criteria were as follows: (a) studies involving patients with a confirmed diagnosis of TED, (b) studies employing any method of sequencing, including epigenomics, genomics, transcriptomics, microbiomics, metabolomics, and proteomics, and (c) studies comparing TED patients with a healthy control (HC) group and/or different subgroups within the TED population, such as those in the active TED phase versus the inactive TED phase. Studies were excluded if they met any of the following conditions: (a) review articles, animal studies, conference abstracts, meeting reports, studies with unclear information, and registry data, (b) studies focusing on diseases other than TED, (c) studies lacking explicit diagnostic criteria for TED, and (d) studies without sequencing results. Two researchers (HYZ and YYZ) independently selected the studies for exclusion. Conflicts were resolved through discussion to reach a consensus or by third-party arbitration.

## **Data Extraction and Visualization**

Data extraction was carried out independently by two researchers and subsequently double-checked. Disputes were resolved through discussion or by consulting a third specialist. Critical characteristics from all included studies were extracted and recorded. The extracted data encompassed the author, year of publication, region, sample type and size, age and sex of each group, sequencing methods, data analysis methods, and main findings.

#### **Risk of Bias and Quality Assessment**

The quality of the studies was assessed using the tool described by Lumbreras *et al.* according to QUADOMICS [29], which was developed to evaluate quality issues specific to omics research. Detailed information is presented in Supplementary Table 4, which considers sixteen criteria. The second and fourteenth items of QUADOMICS did not apply to the included studies, and none of the studies met item 12, which indicates that they interpreted the index test results with knowledge of the reference standard. Two independent authors (YYZ and YYD) conducted the quality assessment, and discrepancies were discussed until a consensus was reached. If consensus could not be achieved, a third specialist was consulted.

## **Results**

#### **Descriptive Characteristics**

A total of 412 studies were screened using the

described search strategy. At the end of the selection process, 69 studies were included in the systematic review. Details of the screening process are provided in the PRISMA flow diagram (Figure 1). Of the 69 studies, 4 studies (5.80%) utilized genomics and epigenomics, 33 studies (47.83%) utilized transcriptomics, 5 studies (7.25%) utilized metabolomics and lipidomics, 13 studies (18.84%) utilized proteomics, 7 studies (10.14%) utilized microbiomics, 6 studies (8.69%) utilized two omics assays, and 1 study (1.45%) utilized three omics assays. These studies were conducted between 2005 and 2024, with significant contributions from China, the UK, the US, Germany, Korea, and other countries. According to the quality assessment, 8 of the 69 studies received a score of "low" 54 received a score of "moderate" and 7 received a score of "high" Further information about this quality assessment is available in Supplementary Table 4.



**Figure 1.** Flow Diagram of study selection – adapted from the flow diagram template provided by PRISMA 2020.





OF: orbital fibroblast; EOM: extraocular muscle; PBMC: peripheral blood mononuclear cell; WGS: whole-genome sequencing; WGBS: whole-genome bisulfite sequencing; RNA-seq: RNA sequencing; RRBS: reduced representation bisulfite sequencing; KEGG: Kyoto encyclopedia of genes and genomes; GO: gene ontology; PCA: principal component analysis; TED: thyroid eye disease; GD: Graves' disease.

A total of 69 studies involved 1,363 TED patients and 1,594 controls (including 1,007 healthy controls (HCs) and 497 patients with GD or other diseases). The patients included in this review exhibit low heterogeneity, with ages ranging from 30.0 to 70.3 years and an overall mean age of approximately 48.40 years. The distribution of sex was similar across most studies, with a total of 322 males and 776 females. Although most of the included studies have a higher number of female participants, 2 studies reported a significantly higher proportion of male patients (male/female ratio  $\geq$  1.5). This data aligns with population statistics for TED.

The included studies analyzed various subgroups of TED. Forty studies compared TED with HC. Nine studies compared TED, GD, and HC. Five studies compared TED and GD. Six studies compared active TED, inactive TED, and HC. Seven studies examined TED based on severity, smoking status, which is a risk factor for the development of TED, and other valuable factors. One study compared TED, nonspecific orbital inflammation, sarcoidosis, and granulomatosis with polyangiitis. Additionally, one study employed 16S rRNA gene sequencing and metabolic network-driven analysis to investigate the association between gut microbiota and TED-related traits.

#### **Genomics and Epigenomics**

This systematic review included 5 studies

employing genomics and epigenomics. Of these, 1 study (20.0%) utilized genomics, while 4 studies (80.0%) investigated DNA methylation. Among the studies, 3 (60.0%) used orbital tissues as samples, and 2 studies (40.0%) analyzed peripheral blood.

In the genomic and epigenomic analysis of TED, whole-genome sequencing (WGS) and DNA methylation assays were applied, yet no significant genetic variants were identified [30]. For DNA methylation analysis, the sequencing approaches included whole-genome bisulfite sequencing (WGBS) [21], methylation microarrays [31], and reduced representation bisulfite sequencing (RRBS) [32, 33], DNA methylation data were analyzed using various approaches, including differential expression analysis to identify key genes [21], functional and pathway analysis with Gene Ontology (GO) and Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) for gene role classification [21, 31], network analysis to explore gene interactions [21], and epigenetic analysis techniques such as differentially methylated probes and differentially methylated region distribution to pinpoint methylation changes [31–33]. Bioinformatics tools like Ingenuity were used for data integration, while statistical and machine learning methods, including MIRA score calculation and random forest analysis, were employed for pattern recognition and correlation analysis [33], DNA methylation analysis detected various biomarkers. Notably, genes related to inflammation, adipogenesis, and autoimmunity,

such as PTPRU and VCAM-1, exhibited significant alterations in methylation levels, potentially playing a key role in the pathogenesis of TED [21, 31]. Pathway analysis and MIRA scoring identified four biological pathways significantly associated with TED, with genes such as LDLR, CDK5, and PIK3CB correlating with the TED phenotype [33]. Additionally, several genomic loci, including CD14, IL17RE, CDK5, DRD4, and ZCCHC6, exhibited significant differences in methylation patterns associated with TED incidence [32].

# **Transcriptomics**

This systematic review included 36 studies employing transcriptomics. Of these, 29 studies (77.8%) analyzed orbital tissues (orbital connective tissues in 27 studies, 75.0%, and extraocular muscles in 2 studies, 5.6%). Six studies (16.7%) used peripheral blood as the sample (peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMC) in 4 studies, 11.1%, and serum in 2 studies, 5.6%), and 1 study (2.8%) used thyroid tissue. Regarding sequencing methods, 16 studies (44.4%) utilized RNA-seq, 13 studies (36.1%) employed microarray, 4 studies (11.1%) used single-cell RNA sequencing (scRNA-seq), and 1 study (2.8%) implemented miRNA microarray. Additionally, nanostring and single-nucleus RNA sequencing (snRNA-seq) were each used in 1 study (2.8%). A wide range of data analysis methodologies was employed. DEGs were used in 31 studies (86.1%), KEGG pathway analysis in 20 studies (55.6%), GO analysis in 15 studies (41.7%), gene set enrichment analysis (GSEA) in 7 studies (19.4%), and protein-protein interaction (PPI) networks in 5 studies (13.9%). Cell-cell interaction analysis and principal component analysis (PCA) were each used in 2 studies (5.6%). Other methods, such as miRanda, competing endogenous RNA (ceRNA) network construction, and co-expression analysis, were employed in 1 study each (2.8%).

Most studies utilized a single approach identifying DEGs in TED patients compared to HCs and conducting functional analysis using GO, KEGG, and GSEA. In studies analyzing orbital tissues, genes involved in antigen presentation, immune adhesion, interferon-γ (IFN-γ) signaling, and immune cell markers for macrophages, B cells, and T cells were found to be upregulated. Additionally, the relaxin signaling pathway, which regulates fibrosis in TED, was active in TED patients. Four studies reported dysregulation of Wnt signaling gene expression, including Wnt5a, secreted frizzled-related proteins, and Dickkopf-related proteins [19, 34–36]. It is well established that the typical Wnt signal regulates the fate of neural crest progenitor cells. Similarly,

activation of the Wnt/β-catenin pathway inhibits commitment to the adipocyte lineage while promoting differentiation into bone cells [37, 38]. Two studies used scRNA-seq to analyze peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs) and found that CD169+ classical monocytes and a novel CD4+ cytotoxic T lymphocyte (CTL) subtype with chemotactic and inflammatory characteristics contributed to hyperinflammation, fibrosis, and adipogenesis in orbital tissues [39, 40]. Additionally, Z Cheng *et al.* identified the VEGF-A gene as a regulator of the cytotoxic function of CD4+ CTLs in TED [41].

# **Proteomics**

This systematic review included 18 studies employing proteomics. Among these, 5 studies (27.8%) analyzed orbital tissues (orbital connective tissues in 4 studies, 80.0%, and extraocular muscles in 1 study, 20.0%). Four studies (22.2%) analyzed serum, and 9 studies (50.0%) used tear samples. Liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry (LC-MS/MS) was the most used sequencing assay, employed in 11 studies (61.1%). Additionally, 2 studies (11.1%) utilized matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization time-of-flight mass spectrometry (MALDI-TOF MS), while other studies (27.8%) used Nano LC/QTOF, surface-enhanced laser desorption/ ionization time-of-flight mass spectrometry (SELDI-TOF-MS), and proximity extension assays.

Of the five studies that analyzed orbital tissues, four employed LC-MS/MS to examine differentially expressed proteins (DEPs) by comparing TED patients to HCs. These studies found that proteins involved in tissue inflammation, adipose tissue differentiation, and metabolism were typically overexpressed in TED patients [21, 23]. These findings suggest a shift in glycometabolism and lipometabolism in TED orbital tissues, which may help elucidate the underlying pathological mechanisms of TED. In serum samples, DEPs analysis, along with KEGG, GO, and PPI analyses, revealed significantly increased levels of IL6, CSF1, FLT3LG, and C4A in TED patients. These inflammatory proteins may play a crucial role in the pathogenesis of TED and could serve as potential new biomarkers for clinical use [42, 43]. Nine studies analyzed DEPs in tear samples, finding that protective proteins such as PROL1, PRP4, and β2-microglobulin were markedly downregulated, while inflammatory proteins such as lysozyme C and cystatin S were upregulated in the patient group compared to controls [44–47]. Enrichment analysis of the DEPs indicated that pathways related to the immune system, apoptosis, cell cycle, carbohydrate metabolism, and protein synthesis and degradation may be key in TED patients.

#### **Table 2.** Detailed information of studies on transcriptomics







EOM: extraocular muscle; PBMC: peripheral blood mononuclear cell; PPI: protein-protein interaction network; GSEA: gene set enrichment analysis; scRNA-seq: single cell RNA sequencing; miRNA-seq: microRNA sequencing; NSOI: nonspecific orbital inflammation; GPA: granulomatosis with polyangiitis; TED: thyroid eye disease; GD: Graves' disease; GO: Gene Ontology.

#### **Table 3.** Detailed information of studies on proteomics





OF: orbital fibroblast; EOM: extraocular muscle; MALDI TOF/TOF: matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization time-of-flight/ time-of-flight; Nano LC/QTOF: nanoscale liquid chromatography/quadrupole-time-of-flight; SELDI-TOF-MS: surface-enhanced laser desorption/ionization time-of-flight mass spectrometry; MALDI-TOF MS matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization time-of-flight mass spectrometer; SWATH-MS: sequential window acquisition of all theoretical spectra mass spectrometer; ROC: receiver operating characteristic; TED: thyroid eye disease; GD: Graves' disease; GO: Gene Ontology.

#### **Metabolomics and Lipidomics**

This systematic review included 9 studies employing metabolomics. Among these, 3 studies (33.3%) used targeted metabolomics, while 6 studies (66.7%) utilized non-targeted metabolomics, including 1 study (11.1%) that specifically used non-targeted lipidomic metabolomics. Of the 9 studies, 3 (33.3%) analyzed orbital connective tissues, 3 (33.3%) used serum, 1 study (11.1%) analyzed feces, 1 study (11.1%) employed tear samples, and 1 study (11.1%) examined both orbital connective tissues and serum.

The non-targeted lipidomic metabolomics study utilized nanoflow ultrahigh pressure liquid chromatography-electrospray ionization tandem mass spectrometry (nUPLC-ESI MS/MS) sequencing, with data analyzed using Student's t-test and PCA. This study identified significantly increased levels of sphingosine-1-phosphate in serum and urine samples, indicating its potential as a biomarker for TED diagnosis. Among the other 6 non-targeted metabolomics studies, 3 studies (50.0%) employed LC-MS sequencing, 2 studies (33.3%) used gas chromatography-time-of-flight mass spectrometry (GC-TOF MS), and 1 study (16.7%) utilized nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) spectroscopy. Metabolite profiles in TED patients, including fumarate, proline, phenylalanine, and glycerol, suggested a potential metabolic connection between orbital connective tissues and blood metabolites. Notably, cholesterol metabolism was significantly linked to TED pathogenesis.





NMR: nuclear magnetic resonance; LC-MS/MS: liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry/mass spectrometry; GC-TOF MS: gas chromatography-time of flight mass spectrometry; nUPLC-ESI MS/MS: nanoflow ultrahigh pressure liquid chromatography tandem mass spectrometry; PLS-DA: partial least squares-discriminant analysis; ROC: receiver operating characteristic; TED: thyroid eye disease; GD: Graves' disease.

When comparing TED patients to HCs, metabolites such as short-chain fatty acids, uric acid, uracil, hexose-phosphate, and D-sedoheptulose 1,7-bisphosphate were enriched in TED samples. Combining TED-specific modulators—proline and 1,5-anhydroglucitol—with key metabolites—lycine, glycerol 3-phosphate, and estrone sulfate substantially improved the biomarker model's ability to discriminate between HCs, GD, and TED groups. All 3 targeted metabolomics studies utilized LC-MS/MS sequencing, with data analyzed using factor analysis, linear regression models, and various univariate analyses. Metabolic profiling revealed significant upregulation of glycolysis-related parameters (F6P/F16BP, AMP/ATP, ADP/ATP, and lactate) in orbital fibroblasts of TED patients compared to HCs. Additionally, active TED patients showed an increased ratio of putrescine to ornithine and spermine in serum compared to inactive TED patients.

## **Microbiomics**

This systematic review included 8 studies that utilized microbiomics. Among these, 6 studies (75.0%) analyzed fecal microbiota, 1 study (12.5%) examined orbital adipose tissues, and 1 study (12.5%) investigated ocular microbiota. Of the studies, 6 (75.0%) employed 16S rRNA sequencing, while 2 studies (25.0%) used 16S rDNA sequencing. The methodologies for identifying biomarkers and analyzing co-occurrence patterns within the microbiota varied. Notably, α- and β-diversity indices were used in 5 studies (62.5%), and random forest analysis was employed in 3 studies (37.5%). Additionally, specific techniques such as KEGG, weighted gene co-expression network analysis (WGCNA), and module-trait association analysis were utilized in 1 study (12.5%).

The collective evidence from these studies suggests that gut microbiota may play a significant role in TED, with specific microbial imbalances potentially contributing to immune dysregulation and disease development. TED patients exhibit decreased bacterial community diversity but show increased proportions of *Actinobacteria*, *Bacillus*, *Brevundimonas*, and a higher *Firmicutes*-to-*Bacteroidetes* ratio compared to HCs [48, 49]. Specific taxa, such as *Deinococcus-Thermus*, *Chloroflexi*, and various bacterial species, display differential abundance patterns between TED and GD, indicating disease-specific microbial signatures [50]. Further characterization reveals distinct microbiome types unique to TED patients, with taxa like *Klebsiella* pneumoniae, *Paracoccus*, and *Hemophilus* correlating with disease

severity [48, 51]. Additionally, s\_Prevotella\_copri and f\_Prevotellaceae show significant correlation with TRAb, suggesting their potential role in TED development [52]. Furthermore, bacterial species such as *Bacteroides*, *Dialister*, and *Lactobacillus* exhibit associations with serum lipopolysaccharide-binding protein concentration, indicating their involvement in TED pathophysiology [53].

# **Discussion**

TED is an autoimmune inflammatory disorder of the orbit, characterized by inflammation and a range of symptoms that can impact vision and appearance. Despite the presence of clinical scoring systems, there remains a critical need for more objective biomarkers to improve early diagnosis, disease monitoring, and therapeutic efficacy evaluation. Recent advancements in high-throughput sequencing technology and the rapid accumulation of omics data have led to increased utilization of genomics, proteomics, and other omics approaches to identify novel targets and biomarkers. Our systematic review comprehensively analyzed studies that employed genomics, epigenomics, transcriptomics, proteomics, metabolomics, lipidomics, and microbiomics to identify potential biomarkers in various biological samples, including orbital tissues, peripheral blood, feces, and tears. The integration of multi-omics data has significantly advanced our understanding of the molecular mechanisms underlying TED and has facilitated the identification of new diagnostic and prognostic biomarkers.

Among the 69 articles included in our study, numerous biomarkers were identified across various mediums, including fecal microbiota, tear fluid, orbital tissues, blood, and thyroid tissues. Connective tissues and extraocular muscles are the primary sites of pathological processes in TED. Studies often focus on exploring disease-specific molecular changes such as inflammation, adipogenesis, and immune responses. For instance, through transcriptomic analysis, researchers have identified the upregulation of genes related to antigen presentation, immune cell adhesion, and interferon-γ signaling in TED patients [19, 36]. Tear samples reflect the health status of the ocular surface. Proteomic analysis has revealed the downregulation of protective proteins and upregulation of inflammatory proteins, which may be associated with ocular surface inflammation in TED patients [54]. Fecal samples are used to investigate the association between gut microbiota and TED, revealing specific microbial imbalances related to immune dysregulation and disease development [50].

#### **Table 5.** Detailed information of studies on microbiomics



LBP: lipopolysaccharide-binding protein; 16S rRNA: 16S ribosomal RNA; 16S rDNA: 16S ribosomal DNA; LEfSe: linear discriminant analysis effect size; WGCNA: weighted gene co-expression network analysis; GD: Graves' disease.

Through scRNA-seq, blood samples are utilized to explore the connections between the peripheral immune landscape and TED, such as the activation of CD169+ classical monocytes, which may be pivotal in driving the autoimmune reactions characteristic of TED [39]. Combining data from different biological samples can provide a more comprehensive understanding of disease mechanisms. For example, combining orbital tissue and blood samples can reveal both local and systemic changes. Samples that are easily obtainable (such as blood and tears) may aid in developing non-invasive diagnostic tools for disease monitoring and prognosis. Examining biological samples from various sources allows for a more thorough comprehension of TED, spanning from localized tissue effects to broader immune system impacts, ultimately guiding the identification of more effective biomarkers.

In omics analyses, the selection of appropriate control groups is crucial for elucidating biological differences. In this systematic review, many studies compared TED patients with HC and patients with GD to identify biomarkers associated with TED. Comparing TED patients to HC helps reveal disease-specific molecular alterations, with the HC group providing a baseline against which pathological changes in TED patients can be contrasted. For example, significant differences in gene expression, protein levels, metabolites, and microbiota composition between TED patients and HC may shed light on pathogenic mechanisms and disease progression. Conversely, comparisons with GD patients aid in distinguishing the specific manifestations of TED within the context of GD. Although GD is a commonly associated with TED, not all GD patients develop TED. Differentiating TED from GD helps identify molecular signatures unique to TED, which may be related to disease severity, inflammatory responses, or tissue remodeling. Additionally, some studies compared TED with other inflammatory conditions, such as non-specific orbital inflammation, sarcoidosis, and granulomatosis with polyangiitis, or grouped patients based on factors like smoking status and serum lipopolysaccharidebinding protein levels. These comparisons aim to uncover the unique pathological features and influencing factors of TED.

In exploring biomarkers related to TED, the choice of sequencing methods is pivotal, requiring a nuanced understanding of different biological molecular levels as described by the central dogma, which is a principle that describes the flow of genetic information within a biological system [16]. The central dogma was initially proposed by Francis Crick in 1957 and has since been refined. It outlines the flow of genetic information from DNA to RNA to proteins, and the selection of sequencing technology should align with different stages of this information flow. This review includes various sequencing methods, such as WGS, RRBS, and RNA sequencing (RNA-seq). Each method has its technical advantages and limitations: WGS offers comprehensive genomic information but at a higher cost; RRBS is more cost-effective but provides a narrower scope of information; RNA-seq reveals changes in gene expression, which is crucial for understanding TED's molecular mechanisms. Mass spectrometry is a vital

technique for high-throughput sequencing in proteomics. For instance, matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization time-of-flight (MALDI-TOF) serves as a cost-effective, efficient, and precise analytical tool widely used for microbial species identification and protein profiling in both clinical and research settings. MALDI-TOF can rapidly and accurately identify bacteria or proteins by analyzing their unique molecular fingerprints, thus providing valuable insights into their biological functions and characteristics [55–57]. Within the framework of the central dogma, combining transcriptomics and proteomics methods offers a comprehensive view from gene expression to changes at the protein and metabolite levels. This multi-omics approach elucidates the relationship between gene expression regulation and protein function, leading to a more thorough understanding of the molecular mechanisms underlying TED.

In the realm of TED research, the selection of an appropriate technological approach to address specific scientific inquiries is a critical step. Therefore, the choice of technology should be guided by specific research questions and available biological materials. For instance, while WGS provides comprehensive genomic information, not all studies require such exhaustive analysis [30]; RRBS or targeted gene panels might suffice. Similarly, for researchers interested in immediate molecular responses, RNA-seq may be more appropriate than microarrays. Proteomics can elucidate alterations in protein expression associated with inflammation, adipose tissue differentiation, extracellular matrix remodeling, and metabolism in the orbital tissues of TED patients [21]. Metabolomics can identify metabolic changes related to the pathogenesis of TED, such as alterations in cholesterol metabolism, which may be linked to the inflammatory and immune responses of the disease [24]. Although microbiomics may not be directly involved in the traditional central dogma, it provides a new perspective for understanding the systemic aspects of TED.

Multi-omics sequencing has identified a range of potential biomarkers for TED, offering promising applications for disease identification, course prediction, and treatment response evaluation. For example, Ji *et al.* explored the diagnostic value of a multi-panel approach combining various biomarkers to differentiate between TED and GD patients [58]. Their study demonstrated an area under the curve range of 0.845 to 0.935, suggesting that such multi-panel approaches could effectively identify individuals with TED. However, the clinical applicability of these findings depends on further validation in larger, more diverse cohorts to ensure their generalizability and reliability. Similarly, Zhang *et al.* used proteomic and miRNA analyses to identify 20 DEPs, including Zonulin, α-2 macroglobulin, β-2 glycoprotein 1, and fibronectin, which may play roles in diagnosis and prognosis [59]. While clinical practice currently relies on markers such as TBII, TSHR-Ab, TSI and TSAb, additional potential biomarkers that could serve as adjuncts for TED confirmation and prognosis assessment remain to be identified and validated. Despite the progress made in biomarker identification through multi-omics sequencing, realizing their full clinical potential involves overcoming several challenges. Future research should focus on establishing well-defined cohorts, conducting longitudinal studies, and addressing technical, logistical, and economic barriers to the integration of these biomarkers into routine clinical practice.

Recent advancements in multi-omics technologies are enhancing the discovery and potential development of informative biomarkers for clinical practice [60]. These technologies offer valuable insights into the complex pathophysiology of TED and facilitate the identification of new diagnostic and therapeutic biomarkers [17, 21, 61]. Despite the promising prospects, several challenges remain, particularly regarding the translation of research discoveries into clinical applications. A significant issue is the inadequacy of study designs, which often results in low statistical significance and limits the impact of many research efforts. Future research in TED should continue to leverage multi-omics technologies, with a focus on improving sample collection, data standardization, and analytical methods [62]. Additionally, it is crucial to validate the clinical relevance of these biomarkers through larger sample sizes and multicenter studies to ensure their robustness and applicability in clinical settings.

It is important to recognize the limitations and challenges associated with the current body of research. Firstly, there is a need to increase sample sizes to enhance the robustness of findings. Larger sample sizes will improve the statistical power and generalizability of results. Secondly, standardization of biomarker detection methodologies across studies is crucial. Such standardization ensures the reliability and reproducibility of results, facilitating more accurate comparisons and interpretations. Thirdly, the validation of identified biomarkers in independent cohorts remains inadequately addressed. Many studies, according to the QUADOMICS criteria, have used patient populations that are not fully representative, and there has been insufficient validatory estimation and assessment, which may lead to overfitting. Fourthly, the lack of quantitative analysis prevents the performance of a meta-analysis,

as the available data is not sufficiently homogeneous to draw meaningful conclusions or make reliable statistical inferences. Future research should focus on overcoming these limitations by developing more representative patient cohorts, implementing standardized biomarker detection protocols, and rigorously validating biomarkers in independent studies. With continued research efforts, the integration of novel biomarkers holds the potential to significantly enhance disease management.

# **Conclusion**

This systematic review has explored the complex landscape of TED through the application of multi-omics sequencing, revealing a wide range of potential biomarkers that could significantly enhance diagnosis, monitoring, and treatment of this multifaceted condition. Despite variations in methodologies and the early stage of biomarker discovery, the evidence collectively highlights the transformative potential of genomics, transcriptomics, proteomics, metabolomics, lipidomics, and microbiomics in unraveling the intricate pathophysiology of TED. Our comprehensive analysis has demonstrated the value of diverse biological samples—such as orbital tissues, peripheral blood, feces, tears, and ocular microbiota—in providing insights into the molecular mechanisms of TED. These biomarkers reflect the systemic nature of the disease and underscore the potential for developing minimally invasive diagnostic approaches. The identification of upregulated genes and proteins associated with inflammation, adipogenesis, and immune dysregulation, along with unique metabolic and microbial signatures, presents promising avenues for future research of TED.

# **Supplementary Material**

Supplementary tables. https://www.ijbs.com/v20p6038s1.pdf

# **Acknowledgements**

## **Funding**

This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (grant numbers 82388101, 81930024, and 82201235), the Science and Technology Commission of Shanghai (grant number 20DZ2270800), and the Shanghai Key Clinical Specialty, Shanghai Eye Disease Research Center (grant number 2022ZZ01003).

#### **Consent for Publication**

All authors approved the final manuscript and the submission to this journal.

#### **Author Contributions**

HYZ: conception and design, analysis and interpretation of data, drafting of the manuscript, critical revision of the manuscript for important intellectual content; YYZ: conception and design, acquisition and interpretation of data, drafting of the manuscript; BGY: analysis of data, drafting of the manuscript; YYD, YW, and SJF: analysis and interpretation of data, drafting of the manuscript; HFZ, XQF and XFS: critical revision of the manuscript for important intellectual content, administrative support, obtaining funding, supervision.

## **Competing Interests**

The authors have declared that no competing interest exists.

#### **References**

- 1. Bahn RS. Graves' ophthalmopathy. N Engl J Med. 2010; 362(8):726–38.
- 2. Bartley GB. The epidemiologic characteristics and clinical course of ophthalmopathy associated with autoimmune thyroid disease in Olmsted County, Minnesota. Trans Am Ophthalmol Soc. 1994; 92:477– 588.
- 3. Oculoplastic and Orbital Disease Group of Chinese Ophthalmological Society of Chinese Medical Association, Thyroid Group of Chinese Society of Endocrinology of Chinese Medical Association. [Chinese guideline on the diagnosis and treatment of thyroid-associated ophthalmopathy (2022)]. Zhonghua Yan Ke Za Zhi. 2022; 58(9):646–68.
- 4. Bartalena L, Piantanida E, Gallo D, Lai A, Tanda ML. Epidemiology, Natural History, Risk Factors, and Prevention of Graves' Orbitopathy. Front Endocrinol. 2020; 11:615993.
- 5. Hai YP, Saeed MEM, Ponto KA, Elflein HM, Lee ACH, Fang S, et al. A Multicenter, Single-Blind, Case-Control, Immunohistochemical Study of Orbital Tissue in Thyroid Eye Disease. Thyroid. 2022; 32(12):1547–58.
- 6. Ross DS, Burch HB, Cooper DS, Greenlee MC, Laurberg P, Maia AL, et al. 2016 American Thyroid Association Guidelines for Diagnosis and Management of Hyperthyroidism and Other Causes of Thyrotoxicosis. Thyroid. 2016; 26(10):1343–421.
- 7. Barrio-Barrio J, Sabater AL, Bonet-Farriol E, Velázquez-Villoria Á, Galofré JC. Graves' Ophthalmopathy: VISA versus EUGOGO Classification, Assessment, and Management. J Ophthalmol. 2015; 2015:249125.
- 8. Fang S, Huang Y, Wang N, Zhang S, Zhong S, Li Y, et al. Insights into Local Orbital Immunity: Evidence for the Involvement of the Th17 Cell Pathway in Thyroid-Associated Ophthalmopathy. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2019; 104(5):1697–711.
- 9. Huang Y, Wu Y, Zhang S, Lu Y, Wang Y, Liu X, et al. Immunophenotype of Lacrimal Glands in Graves' Orbitopathy: Implications for the Pathogenesis of Th1 and Th17 Immunity. Thyroid. 2022; 32(8):949–61.
- 10. Verma R, Chen AJ, Choi D, Wilson DJ, Grossniklaus HE, Dailey RA, et al. Inflammation and Fibrosis in Orbital Inflammatory Disease: A Histopathologic Analysis. Ophthal Plast Reconstr Surg. 2023; 39(6):588– 93.
- 11. Fang S, Huang Y, Wang S, Zhang Y, Luo X, Liu L, et al. IL-17A Exacerbates Fibrosis by Promoting the Proinflammatory and Profibrotic Function of Orbital Fibroblasts in TAO. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2016; 101(8):2955–65.
- 12. Fang S, Huang Y, Zhong S, Zhang Y, Liu X, Wang Y, et al. IL-17A Promotes RANTES Expression, But Not IL-16, in Orbital Fibroblasts Via CD40-CD40L Combination in Thyroid-Associated Ophthalmopathy. Invest Ophth Vis Sci. 2016; 57(14):6123–33.
- 13. Zhang H, Fang L, Cheng Y, Peng Y, Wang H, Jiang M, et al. Peripheral CD3+CD4+ T cells as indicators of disease activity in thyroid eye disease: age-dependent significance. Graefes Arch Clin Exp Ophthalmol. 2024; 262(9):2985-2997.
- 14. Akhoundova D, Rubin MA. Clinical application of advanced multi-omics tumor profiling: Shaping precision oncology of the future. Cancer Cell. 2022; 40(9):920–38.
- 15. Zhang Y, Lee TY. Revealing the Immune Heterogeneity between Systemic Lupus Erythematosus and Rheumatoid Arthritis Based on Multi-Omics Data Analysis. Int J Mol Sci. 2022; 23(9):5166.
- 16. Crick F. Central dogma of molecular biology. Nature. 1970; 227(5258):561–3.
- 17. Wu L, Zhang S, Li X, Yao J, Ling L, Huang X, et al. Integrative transcriptomics and proteomic analysis of extraocular muscles from patients with thyroid-associated ophthalmopathy. Exp Eye Res. 2020; 193:107962.
- 18. Chen MH, Liao SL, Chen MH, Tsou PL, Shih MJ, Chang TC, et al. Lysosome-Related Genes Are Regulated in the Orbital Fat of Patients with Graves' Ophthalmopathy. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci. 2008; 49(11):4760.
- 19. Ezra DG, Krell J, Rose GE, Bailly M, Stebbing J, Castellano L. Transcriptome-level microarray expression profiling implicates IGF-1 and Wnt signalling dysregulation in the pathogenesis of thyroid-associated orbitopathy. J Clin Pathol. 2012; 65(7):608–13.
- 20. Planck T, Shahida B, Parikh H, Ström K, Åsman P, Brorson H, et al. Smoking Induces Overexpression of Immediate Early Genes in Active Graves' Ophthalmopathy. Thyroid. 2014; 24(10):1524–32.
- 21. Virakul S, Somparn P, Pisitkun T, van der Spek PJ, Dalm VASH, Paridaens D, et al. Integrative Analysis of Proteomics and DNA Methylation in Orbital Fibroblasts from Graves' Ophthalmopathy. Front Endocrinol. 2021; 11:619989.
- 22. Du R, Wang F, Yang C, Hu J, Liu J, Jian Q, et al. Metabolic features of orbital adipose tissue in patients with thyroid eye disease. Front Endocrinol. 2023; 14:1151757.
- 23. Cheng KC, Huang HH, Hung CT, Chen CC, Wu WC, Suen JL, et al. Proteomic analysis of the differences in orbital protein expression in thyroid orbitopathy. Graefes Arch Clin Exp Ophthalmol. 2013; 251(12):2777–87.
- 24. Huang J, Chen M, Liang Y, Hu Y, Xia W, Zhang Y, et al. Integrative metabolic analysis of orbital adipose/connective tissue in patients with thyroid-associated ophthalmopathy. Front Endocrinol. 2022; 13:1001349.
- 25. Virili C, Centanni M. Does microbiota composition affect thyroid homeostasis? Endocrine. 2015; 49(3):583–7.
- 26. Virili C, Fallahi P, Antonelli A, Benvenga S, Centanni M. Gut microbiota and Hashimoto's thyroiditis. Rev Endocr Metab Disord. 2018; 19(4):293– 300.
- 27. Biscarini F, Masetti G, Muller I, Verhasselt HL, Covelli D, Colucci G, et al. Gut Microbiome Associated with Graves' Disease and Graves' Orbitopathy: The INDIGO Multicenter European Study. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2023; 108(8):2065–77.
- 28. Page MJ, Moher D, Bossuyt PM, Boutron I, Hoffmann TC, Mulrow CD, et al. PRISMA 2020 explanation and elaboration: updated guidance and exemplars for reporting systematic reviews. BMJ. 2021; 372:n160.
- 29. Lumbreras B, Porta M, Márquez S, Pollán M, Parker LA, Hernández-Aguado I. QUADOMICS: an adaptation of the Quality Assessment of Diagnostic Accuracy Assessment (QUADAS) for the evaluation of the methodological quality of studies on the diagnostic accuracy of '-omics'-based technologies. Clin Biochem. 2008 Nov;41(16– 17):1316–25.
- 30. Rotondo Dottore G, Bucci I, Lanzolla G, Dallan I, Sframeli A, Torregrossa L, et al. Genetic Profiling of Orbital Fibroblasts from Patients with Graves' Orbitopathy. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2021; 106(5):e2176–90.
- 31. Liang Y, Ding S, Wang X, Hu C, Zhang Y, Hu Y, et al. Adipose/Connective Tissue from Thyroid-Associated Ophthalmopathy Uncovers Interdependence Between Methylation and Disease Pathogenesis: A Genome-Wide Methylation Analysis. Front Cell Dev Biol. 2021; 9:716871.
- 32. Xin Z, Hua L, Shi TT, Tuo X, Yang FY, Li Y, et al. A genome-wide DNA methylation analysis in peripheral blood from patients identifies risk loci associated with Graves' orbitopathy. J Endocrinol Invest. 2018; 41(6):719–27.
- 33. Xin Z, Hua L, Yang YL, Shi TT, Liu W, Tuo X, et al. A Pathway Analysis Based on Genome-Wide DNA Methylation of Chinese Patients with Graves' Orbitopathy. Biomed Res Int. 2019; 2019:9565794.
- 34. Tao W, Ayala-Haedo JA, Field MG, Pelaez D, Wester ST. RNA-Sequencing Gene Expression Profiling of Orbital Adipose-Derived Stem Cell Population Implicate HOX Genes and WNT Signaling Dysregulation in the Pathogenesis of Thyroid-Associated Orbitopathy. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci. 2017; 58(14):6146–58.
- 35. Bai XJ, Chu XJ, Yang T, Tong BD, Qi X, Peng YY, et al. Changes of mRNA expression underlying orbital adipogenesis in thyroid-associated orbitopathy. Arch Med Sci. 2022; 18(6):1708–15.
- 36. Kim DW, Taneja K, Hoang T, Santiago CP, McCulley TJ, Merbs SL, et al. Transcriptomic Profiling of Control and Thyroid-Associated Orbitopathy (TAO) Orbital Fat and TAO Orbital Fibroblasts Undergoing Adipogenesis. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci. 2021; 62(9):24.
- 37. Dorsky RI, Moon RT, Raible DW. Control of neural crest cell fate by the Wnt signalling pathway. Nature. 1998; 396(6709):370–3.
- 38. Ross SE, Hemati N, Longo KA, Bennett CN, Lucas PC, Erickson RL, et al. Inhibition of adipogenesis by Wnt signaling. Science. 2000; 289(5481):950–3.
- 39. Wang D, Ling J, Tan R, Wang H, Qu Y, Li X, et al. CD169+ classical monocyte as an important participant in Graves' ophthalmopathy through CXCL12-CXCR4 axis. iScience. 2024; 27(3):109213.
- 40. Wang Y, Chen Z, Wang T, Guo H, Liu Y, Dang N, et al. A novel CD4+ CTL subtype characterized by chemotaxis and inflammation is involved in the pathogenesis of Graves' orbitopathy. Cell Mol Immunol. 2021; 18(3):735–45.
- 41. Chen Z, Zhang M, Liu Y, Chen Z, Wang L, Wang W, et al. VEGF-A enhances the cytotoxic function of CD4+ cytotoxic T cells via the VEGF-receptor 1/VEGF-receptor 2/AKT/mTOR pathway. J Transl Med. 2023; 21:74.
- 42. Kang J, Li Y, Zhao Z, Zhang H. Differentiation between thyroid‐ associated orbitopathy and Graves' disease by iTRAQ‐based quantitative proteomic analysis. FEBS Open Bio. 2021; 11(7):1930–40.
- 43. Ueland HO, Ueland GÅ, Løvås K, Breivk LE, Thrane AS, Meling Stokland AE, et al. Novel inflammatory biomarkers in thyroid eye disease. Eur J Endocrinol. 2022; 187(2):293–300.
- 44. Matheis N, Okrojek R, Grus FH, Kahaly GJ. Proteomics of Tear Fluid in Thyroid-Associated Orbitopathy. Thyroid. 2012; 22(10):1039–45.
- 45. Matheis N, Grus FH, Breitenfeld M, Knych I, Funke S, Pitz S, et al. Proteomics Differentiate Between Thyroid-Associated Orbitopathy and Dry Eye Syndrome. Invest Ophth Vis Sci. 2015; 56(4):2649.
- 46. Kishazi E, Dor M, Eperon S, Oberic A, Hamedani M, Turck N. Thyroid-associated orbitopathy and tears: A proteomics study. J Proteomics. 2018; 170:110–6.
- 47. Aass C, Norheim I, Eriksen EF, Børnick EC, Thorsby PM, Pepaj M. Comparative proteomic analysis of tear fluid in Graves' disease with and without orbitopathy. Clin Endocrinol. 2016; 85(5):805–12.
- 48. Ji X, Dong K, Pu J, Yang J, Zhang Z, Ning X, et al. Comparison of the ocular surface microbiota between thyroid-associated ophthalmopathy patients and healthy subjects. Front Cell Infect Microbiol. 2022; 12:914749.
- 49. Shi TT, Xin Z, Hua L, Zhao RX, Yang YL, Wang H, et al. Alterations in the intestinal microbiota of patients with severe and active Graves' orbitopathy: a cross-sectional study. J Endocrinol Invest. 2019; 42(8):967– 78.
- 50. Shi TT, Xin Z, Hua L, Wang H, Zhao RX, Yang YL, et al. Comparative assessment of gut microbial composition and function in patients with Graves' disease and Graves' orbitopathy. J Endocrinol Invest. 2021; 44(2):297–310.
- 51. Zhang Q, Tong B, Xie Z, Li Y, Li Y, Wang L, et al. Changes in the gut microbiota of patients with Graves' orbitopathy according to severity grade. Clin Exp Ophthalmol. 2023; 51(8):808–21.
- 52. Shi TT, Hua L, Wang H, Xin Z. The Potential Link between Gut Microbiota and Serum TRAb in Chinese Patients with Severe and Active Graves' Orbitopathy. Int J Endocrinol. 2019; 2019:1–12.
- 53. Fenneman AC, van der Spek AH, Hartstra A, Havik S, Salonen A, de Vos WM, et al. Intestinal permeability is associated with aggravated inflammation and myofibroblast accumulation in Graves' orbitopathy: the MicroGO study. Front Endocrinol. 2023; 14:1173481.
- 54. Billiet B, Chao de la Barca JM, Ferré M, Muller J, Vautier A, Assad S, et al. A Tear Metabolomic Profile Showing Increased Ornithine Decarboxylase Activity and Spermine Synthesis in Thyroid-Associated Orbitopathy. J Clin Med. 2022; 11(2):404.
- 55. Croxatto A, Prod'hom G, Greub G. Applications of MALDI-TOF mass spectrometry in clinical diagnostic microbiology. FEMS Microbiol Rev. 2012; 36(2):380–407.
- 56. Hajduk J, Matysiak J, Kokot ZJ. Challenges in biomarker discovery with MALDI-TOF MS. Clin Chim Acta. 2016; 458:84–98.
- 57. Patel R. MALDI-TOF MS for the diagnosis of infectious diseases. Clin Chem. 2015; 61(1):100–11.
- 58. Ji DY, Park SH, Park SJ, Kim KH, Ku CR, Shin DY, et al. Comparative assessment of Graves' disease and main extrathyroidal manifestation, Graves' ophthalmopathy, by non-targeted metabolite profiling of blood and orbital tissue. Sci Rep. 2018; 8:9262.
- 59. Zhang L, Masetti G, Colucci G, Salvi M, Covelli D, Eckstein A, et al. Combining micro-RNA and protein sequencing to detect robust biomarkers for Graves' disease and orbitopathy. Sci Rep. 2018; 8:8386.
- 60. Li C, Sun YD, Yu GY, Cui JR, Lou Z, Zhang H, et al. Integrated Omics of Metastatic Colorectal Cancer. Cancer Cell. 2020; 38(5):734-747.e9.
- 61. Shi TT, Liu HY, Zhu XR, Xin Z, Hua L, Xie RR, et al. Integrated Proteomics and Metabolomics Analyses of Serum in Chinese Patients with Severe and Active Graves' Orbitopathy: A Cross-sectional Study. Endocr Metab Immune. 2023; 23(9):1151–61.
- 62. Xiao Y, Bi M, Guo H, Li M. Multi-omics approaches for biomarker discovery in early ovarian cancer diagnosis. EBioMedicine. 2022; 79:104001.
- 63. Zhu L, Li S, He S, Tong Q, Wang L, Li X, et al. The critical role of m6A methylation in the pathogenesis of Graves' ophthalmopathy. Eye Vis (Lond). 2020; 7:55.
- 64. Sun A, Ye H, Xu Z, Chen J, Xiao W, Zhang T, et al. Serelaxin Alleviates Fibrosis in Thyroid-Associated Ophthalmopathy via the Notch Pathway. Int J Mol Sci. 2023 May 6;24(9):8356.
- 65. Ye H, Sun A, Xiao W, Zhang T, Xu Z, Shi L, et al. Differential Circular RNA Expression Profiling of Orbital Connective Tissue from Patients with Type I and Type II Thyroid-Associated Ophthalmopathy. Invest Ophth Vis Sci. 2022; 63(12):27.
- 66. Wu L, Liang Y, Song N, Wang X, Jiang C, Chen X, et al. Differential expression and alternative splicing of transcripts in orbital adipose/connective tissue of thyroid-associated ophthalmopathy. Exp Biol Med. 2021; 246(18):1990–2006.
- 67. Khong JJ, Wang LY, Smyth GK, McNab AA, Hardy TG, Selva D, et al. Differential Gene Expression Profiling of Orbital Adipose Tissue in Thyroid Orbitopathy. Invest Ophth Vis Sci. 2015; 56(11):6438–47.
- 68. Wu L, Zhou R, Diao J, Chen X, Huang J, Xu K, et al. Differentially expressed circular RNAs in orbital adipose/connective tissue from patients with thyroid-associated ophthalmopathy. Exp Eye Res. 2020; 196:108036.
- 69. Wang Y, Liu Y, Cai J, Zong T, Zhang Z, Xie T, et al. Differentially expressed genes in orbital adipose/connective tissue of thyroid-associated orbitopathy. PeerJ. 2023; 11:e16569.
- 70. Wu L, Li L, Liang Y, Chen X, Mou P, Liu G, et al. Identification of differentially expressed long non-coding RNAs and mRNAs in orbital adipose/connective tissue of thyroid-associated ophthalmopathy. Genomics. 2021; 113(1):440–9.
- 71. Mou P, Chen Z, Jiang L, Cheng J, Wei R. PTX3: A Potential Biomarker in Thyroid Associated Ophthalmopathy. Biomed Res Int. 2018; 2018:5961974.
- 72. Li Z, Wang M, Tan J, Zhu L, Zeng P, Chen X, et al. Single-cell RNA sequencing depicts the local cell landscape in thyroid-associated ophthalmopathy. Cell Rep Med. 2022; 3(8):100699.
- 73. Lee BW, Kumar VB, Biswas P, Ko AC, Alameddine RM, Granet DB, et al. Transcriptome Analysis of Orbital Adipose Tissue in Active Thyroid Eye Disease Using Next Generation RNA Sequencing Technology. Open Ophthalmol J. 2018; 12:41–52.
- 74. Planck T, Parikh H, Brorson H, Mårtensson T, Åsman P, Groop L, et al. Gene Expression in Graves' Ophthalmopathy and Arm Lymphedema: Similarities and Differences. Thyroid. 2011; 21(6):663–74.
- 75. Lantz M, Vondrichova T, Parikh H, Frenander C, Ridderstråle M, Åsman P, et al. Overexpression of Immediate Early Genes in Active Graves' Ophthalmopathy. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2005; 90(8):4784–91.
- 76. Rosenbaum JT, Choi D, Wong A, Wilson DJ, Grossniklaus HE, Harrington CA, et al. The Role of the Immune Response in the Pathogenesis of Thyroid Eye Disease: A Reassessment. PLoS One. 2015; 10(9):e0137654.
- 77. Ma R, Gan L, Guo J, Peng Z, Wu J, Harrison AR, et al. Insights into Ferroptosis: Targeting Glycolysis to Treat Graves' Orbitopathy. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2022; 107(7):1994–2003.
- 78. Jang SY, Chae MK, Lee JH, Lee EJ, Yoon JS. Role of miR-146a in the Regulation of Inflammation in an In Vitro Model of Graves' Orbitopathy. Invest Ophth Vis Sci. 2016; 57(10):4027–34.
- 79. Kumar S, Leontovich A, Coenen MJ, Bahn RS. Gene expression profiling of orbital adipose tissue from patients with Graves' ophthalmopathy: a potential role for secreted frizzled-related protein-1 in orbital adipogenesis. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2005; 90(8):4730–5.
- 80. Ko J, Kim YJ, Choi SH, Lee CS, Yoon JS. Yes-Associated Protein Mediates the Transition from Inflammation to Fibrosis in Graves' Orbitopathy. Thyroid. 2023; 33(12):1465–75.
- 81. Yue Z, Tong F, Zeng C, Wei R. Identification of tRNA-Related Fragments and Their Potential Regulatory Effects in Thyroid-Associated Ophthalmopathy. Front Genet. 2022; 13:878405.
- 82. Yue Z, Mou P, Chen S, Tong F, Wei R. A Novel Competing Endogenous RNA Network Associated with the Pathogenesis of Graves' Ophthalmopathy. Front Genet. 2021; 12:795546.
- 83. Kim N, Choung H, Kim YJ, Woo SE, Yang MK, Khwarg SI, et al. Serum microRNA as a potential biomarker for the activity of thyroid eye disease. Sci Rep. 2023; 13:234.
- 84. Liu R, Ye Z, Liu Q, Xuan M, Li R, Zhang L, et al. MicroRNA-376b is involved in the pathogenesis of thyroid-associated ophthalmopathy by regulating HAS2. Endocrine. 2023; 82(1):87–95.
- 85. Wescombe L, Lahooti H, Gopinath B, Wall JR. The cardiac calsequestrin gene (CASQ2) is up‐regulated in the thyroid in patients with Graves'

ophthalmopathy – support for a role of autoimmunity against calsequestrin as the triggering event. Clin Endocrinol. 2010; 73(4):522–8.

- 86. Matheis N, Lantz M, Grus FH, Ponto KA, Wolters D, Brorson H, et al. Proteomics of Orbital Tissue in Thyroid-Associated Orbitopathy. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2015; 100(12):E1523–30.
- 87. Shi TT, Zhao RX, Xin Z, Hou ZJ, Wang H, Xie RR, et al. Tear-derived exosomal biomarkers of Graves' ophthalmopathy. Front Immunol. 2022; 13:1088606.
- 88. Zhou X, Wei R, Wang R. Characterization of human tear proteome reveals differentially abundance proteins in thyroid-associated ophthalmopathy. PeerJ. 2022; 10:e13701.
- 89. Jiang L, Wei R, Diao J, Ding H, Wang W, Ao R. Proteomics of Tear in Inactive Thyroid-Associated Ophthalmopathy. Acta Endocrinol (Buchar). 2021; 17(3):291–303.
- 90. Chng CL, Seah LL, Yang M, Shen SY, Koh SK, Gao Y, et al. Tear Proteins Calcium binding protein A4 (S100A4) and Prolactin Induced Protein (PIP) are Potential Biomarkers for Thyroid Eye Disease. Sci Rep. 2018; 8:16936.
- 91. Okrojek R, Grus FH, Matheis N, Kahaly GJ. Proteomics in Autoimmune Thyroid Eye Disease. Horm Metab Res. 2009; 41(06):465–70.
- 92. Byeon SK, Park SH, Lee JC, Hwang S, Ku CR, Shin DY, et al. Lipidomic differentiation of Graves' ophthalmopathy in plasma and urine from Graves' disease patients. Anal Bioanal Chem. 2018; 410(27):7121–33.
- 93. Ueland HO, Ulvik A, Løvås K, Wolff ASB, Breivik LE, Stokland AEM, et al. Systemic Activation of the Kynurenine Pathway in Graves' Disease with and without Ophthalmopathy. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2023; 108(6):1290–7.
- 94. Li Y, Wang F, Hou Z, Nie Z, Ma L, Hui S, et al. Microbiome in orbital fat under thyroid associated ophthalmopathy. Medicine in Microecology. 2022; 13:100058.